

General design process for converting lots of data into X3D visualizations

Focused Brainstorming

1. **Concept.** Come up with a great idea about how your data might look when visualized in 3D. Draw some simple sketches to show exemplars of interest.
2. **Notional examples.** Create one or two simple *X3D* models to illustrate your sketches in 3D.
3. **Candidate examples.** Modify your *X3D* model scene to include some actual (or representative) sample data. Does this example help illustrate the relationships that you want to visualize?

Data Representations and Conversions

4. **Correspondences.** Map the data structures of the original information to parameters within the *X3D* model. How are you visualizing the information important to you? What conversion or transformation functions are needed? What filtering and error checking can be applied to input data, thus avoiding garbage-in garbage-out (GIGO) problems? What boundary values, default initialization values and constraint rules can be defined for the results used in your *X3D* scene?
5. **XML representation.** (Optional) If needed or available, find a conversion program that can translate the raw data into some form of XML. Can the raw data or smoothed XML be plotted using existing tools?
6. **Brute-force conversion to X3D.** (Optional) Write a conversion program to translate raw data into custom *X3D* scenes that match the original example pattern. Such scenes typically have literal data placed in various nodes scattered around a scene graph. However this kind of customized scene is not easily modified afterward, so a prototype approach is preferable for large data sets.

Build X3D Prototype for Repeatability

7. **Prototype declaration.** Wrap a *ProtoDeclare* definition around your initial model, and expose key parameters of interest as *field* definitions. Identify the key data nodes and fields used for visualization inside your *X3D* model. For each of these nodes and fields, define corresponding *IS/connect* links that utilize the parameters exposed in the *field* interface. The prototype (with connected interface and body) provides a cookie-cutter template to build many example results.
8. **Prototype instance examples.** Build some examples by creating *ProtoInstance* copies, using actual data as *fieldValue* initializations for the prototype. Then review, refine, and repeat: modify the *ProtoDeclare* template as needed to draw your *ProtoInstance* examples correctly, producing useful visualizations. To avoid unexpected errors, ensure that all validation tests pass.
9. **Stylesheet conversion.** Write a simple XSLT stylesheet to convert XML-ized raw-data inputs into *X3D ProtoInstance* outputs. These example outputs can simply use an *ExternProtoDeclare* to refer to the file holding the master *ProtoDeclare* template, which you can continue to improve.
10. **Spiral improvement.** Inspect the output visualizations, and continue to refine your visualization design patterns. Note that each improvement to the *ProtoDeclare* definition gets applied equally to all of the *ProtoInstance* examples. This separates function and presentation well: simple prototype refinements let you improve the visualization of all data examples simultaneously, without needing any brute-force regeneration of all the individual visualization models.

Addition process considerations

- Consider the raw data structures corresponding to your data.
 - Is there XML already? Is it well defined by an XML Schema or possibly an XML DTD?
 - If multiple file formats exist for the datasets of interest, then how do they compare?
 - Are the data structures sufficient for your work?
- Elaborate model view controller (MVC) design issues
 - Separation of data model, presentation view and user-interface controller simplifies evolution
 - In addition to typical typed values, other data and metadata types are needed such as images, audio/video/3D, url addresses, file names, and consistently provided annotation descriptions
- Consider what animation techniques might be suitable
 - Variations animated over time, sometimes modifiable by color or transparency selections
 - Billboard images facing user direction
 - Links from visualization components to external documents, images, videos, 3D, etc.
 - User-directed viewpoint tour, predefined animated tour
- Similar outputs might be valuable using KML for geospatial display
 - Co-evolution of complementary, mutually supporting X3D and KML mappings
 - Also some shared standards for geopositioning, time stamps, url handling, MIME types, etc.
- If your raw data is itself an X3D export from another tool, consider using that as input template for a prototype design.
 - If there is a good match, the autogenerated X3D can simply be considered as another XML input format suitable for styling.
 - Cooperative relationship with tool builders

Archival publishing considerations

- Motivation: provide the ability to perform guided visualization explorations as part of publishing datasets of interest
 - Take advantage of original data filtering and analysis techniques
 - Provide stepping-stone to future improvements or variations in analysis of the dataset
- 3D visualization is important for social network analysis
 - Can explore and understand data interrelationships in a deeper, broader way
- Software visualization tools such as Pajek, UCINet, and ORA are valuable and important
 - Can explore relationships in a deep way
 - Can capture and publish an exploration via compatible dataset, but no data standards yet exist
 - Can capture and publish explorations as 2D images and as video, which show demonstrations, but does not allow re-exploration of the data in other terms during future analysis
 - Software tools evolve, future tool availability or data compatibility is not guaranteed
- Saving as X3D allows archival publishing of 3D models
 - No longer dependent on software consistency, stability, availability
 - Can publish baseline visualization exported from tool
 - Metadata should also be included to record data origin, rights, parameters, etc.
 - Now assessing whether we can include user-directed manipulations and animations of a key visualization parameters controlling the social network being examined

Social network data visualization case study

- Choose representative exemplar dataset
- Show various toolset paths for exploring, analyzing and visualizing datasets
- Comparison of social-network data format characteristics, capabilities
- Build a case study comparing metrics of interest using each type of display, show list of capabilities (relative strengths, weaknesses) in a comparison table
 - 2D images
 - 2D+time video demonstrations
 - examinable X3D visualizations of a static 3D model
 - explorable X3D+time visualization demonstrations
- Another comparison table for 3D file-size reduction using prototype-based approach:

Conversion type	original file size for social network data	X3D export using brute-force repetitive approach	X3D export (or conversion) as prototype templates	units
ORA text (or XML) source		-	-	MB
UCInet text (or XML) source		-	-	MB
Pajek text (or XML) source				MB
.zip compression				MB
gzip compression				MB
.exi compression using Efficient XML Interchange				MB
.x3db Compressed Binary Encoding	-			MB
visualization demo: 3D graphics performance (frame rate)	-			fps

Pajek-specific tool comments

- Need to embed useful viewpoints of interest in output file
- Arcs should have parent name/comment and placed together in single Group
- DEF/USE Appearance/Material, Cone (arrowheads), possibly Cylinder if scaled by Transform
- <FontStyle family='Arial Unicode MS' should have double quotes
- Cylinder for arc (i.e. ball+stick model) is longer than needed

Acknowledgments

- Elaine Reid, Ying Zhao, Doug MacKinnon